

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. **FILING DATE** CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR 10/707,819 01/14/2004 Dmitry A. Noraev 1818 **EXAMINER** 38583 7590 09/19/2006 **DMITRY NORAEV** HESS, BRUCE H 16 Penwood Drive **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER New Providence, NJ 07974 1774

DATE MAILED: 09/19/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/707,819	NORAEV, DMITRY A.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Bruce H. Hess	1774
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE		
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 6-2	19-06 (Election)	•
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 39 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.		
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.		
Attachment(s)	•	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	
2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) *Paper No(s)/Mail Date ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	·
LS Patent and Trademark Office		

Application/Control Number: 10/707,819

Art Unit: 1774

- (. The restriction requirement is modified as follows: article claims 1-12 and 39 have been included with elected process of using claims 28-38. Apparatus claims 12-27 and 40-42 remain as a separate Group for the reasons of record. The "altering" step can be performed by hand or by another apparatus.
- 2. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 USC 112 (2) as being indefinite in the recital of "adding material" to an article claim. The data storage medium of claim 1 can have removable "altering means" but it cannot have altering means which are not actually there.
- 3. Claims 1-6, 8, 10, 11 and 39 are rejected under 35 USC 102 (b) as being anticipated by the patent to Rollhaus et al. (USP 6,011,772).

Claims 1-6, 8-11 and 39 are rejected under 35 USC 102 (b) as being anticipated by the patent to Dailey et al. (USP 6,228,440).

Claims 1-6 and 8-11 are rejected under 35 USC 102 (a) as being anticipated by the patent to Lawandy et al. (USP 6,531,262).

All three of these patents teach data storage media comprising a means for permanent alteration by an external source. In Rollhaus et al. and Lawandy et al., the means are removable layers while in Dailey et al. the means is a layer which changes its physical properties. Once these altering means are activated, the removed or physically changed data cannot be read by another data rendering system.

4. Claims 28-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over any of the patents to Rollhaus et al., Dailey et al. or Lawandy et al.

Application/Control Number: 10/707,819 Page 3

Art Unit: 1774

Access to other data rendering systems is denied once the alteration is performed. If one of ordinary skill in this art questioned the success of the aforementioned alteration, an alteration test would have been the obvious solution. Finally, selection of the type of data (e.g., restrictive covenants) contained on the data storage media of the references would have been an obvious design choice on the part of one of ordinary skill in this art.

BRUCE H. HESS
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1300